UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,023	10/08/2004	Lars I. E. Oddsson	BU-082XX	8760	
207 7590 07/16/2008 WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE			EXAMINER		
			SMITH, FANGEMONIQUE A		
BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3736			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/511,023	ODDSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FANGEMONIQUE SMITH	3736	

FAI	NGEMONIQUE SMITH	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replie application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (v for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods:	es: (1) an amendment, affidav vith appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ry Action, or (2) the date set forth nan SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount ned statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but p (a) They raise new issues that would require further conside (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corre	ration and/or search (see NO	TE below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. S 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowal	ee attached Notice of Non-Co 	ompliant Amendment (F	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufform was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	ome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of t <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe 		•	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTC		i condition for allowand	ce because.
13. Other:			
/Max Hindenburg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicants arguments with respect to the amended claims are not persuasive. Claim 1 was amended to include the claim limitation of allowing a user cognitive recognition and response. Examiner sumbits the Allum apparatus incorporates a stimulator signaling means which provides a visual, audio, tactile and electro-vestibular feedback to the user. This feature allows a user cognitive recognition and response. Examiner submits the prior art references as applied in the final rejection meet the limitations of Applicant's invention as amended.